# **Artificial Neural Networks**

By: Bijan Moaveni

Email: *b\_moaveni@iust.ac.ir* http://webpages.iust.ac.ir/b\_moaveni/

# **Programs of the Course**

- Aims of the Course
- Reference Books
- Preliminaries
- Evaluation

# Aims of the Course

- 1. Discuss the fundamental techniques in Neural Networks.
- 2. Discuss the fundamental structures and its learning algorithms.
- 3. Introduce the new models of NNs and its applications.

# Neural Network is an intelligent numerical computation method.

# **Learning Outcomes**

- 1. Understand the relation between real brains and simple artificial neural network models.
- 2. Describe and explain the most common architectures and learning algorithms for Multi-Layer Perceptrons, Radial-Basis Function Networks and Kohonen Self-Organising Maps.
- 3. Explain the learning and generalization aspects of neural network systems.
- 4. Demonstrate an understanding of the implementation issues for common neural network systems.
- 5. Demonstrate an understanding of the practical considerations in applying neural networks to real classification, recognition, identification, approximation problems and control.

## **Course Evaluation**

- 1. Course Projects 40%
- 2. Final Exam 50%
- 3. Conference Paper 10%

# **Reference Books**

- Haykin S., Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation., Prentice Hall, 1999.
- Hagan M.T., Dcmuth H.B. and Beale M., Neural Network Design, PWS Publishing Co., 1996.

# **Preliminaries**

- 1. Matrices Algebra to Neural Network design and implementation.
- 2. MATLAB software for simulation. (NN toolbox is arbitrary).













# Why are Artificial Neural Networks Worth Noting and Studying?

- 1. They are extremely powerful computational devices.
- 2. Parallel Processing makes them very efficient.
- 3. They can learn and generalize from training data so there is no need for enormous feats of programming.
- 4. They are particularly fault tolerant this is equivalent to the "graceful degradation" found in biological systems.
- 5. They are very noise tolerant so they can cope or deal with situations where normal symbolic (classic) systems would have difficulty.
- 6. In principle, they can do anything a symbolic or classic <sup>7</sup> system can do, and more.



#### **Learning in Neural Networks**

There are many forms of neural networks. Most operate by passing neural 'activations' through a network of connected neurons.

One of the most powerful features of neural networks is their ability to *learn* and *generalize* from a set of <u>training data</u>. They adapt the strengths/weights of the connections between neurons so that the final output activations are correct.

There are three broad types of learning:

- 1. Supervised Learning (i.e. learning with a teacher)
- 2. Reinforcement learning (i.e. learning with limited feedback)
- 3. Unsupervised learning (i.e. learning with no help)

There are most common learning algorithms for the most common types of neural networks.

9

#### **A Brief History** 1943 McCulloch and Pitts proposed the McCulloch-Pitts neuron • model **1949** Hebb published his book *The Organization of Behavior*, in which the Hebbian learning rule was proposed. 1958 Rosenblatt introduced the simple single layer networks now called Perceptrons. **1969** Minsky and Papert's book *Perceptrons* demonstrated the limitation of single layer perceptrons and almost the whole field went into hibernation. **1982** Hopfield published a series of papers on Hopfield networks. **1982** Kohonen developed the Self-Organising Maps that now bear his name. **1986** The Back-Propagation learning algorithm for Multi-Layer Perceptrons was rediscovered and the whole field took off again. **1990s** The sub-field of Radial Basis Function Networks is developed. 2000s The power of Ensembles of Neural Networks and Support 10 Vector Machines becomes apparent.

### **A Brief History**

**1943** McCulloch and Pitts proposed the McCulloch-Pitts neuron model



Warren S. McCulloch (Nov., 16, 1898 – Sep., 24, 1969) American neurophysiologist and cybernetician

W. McCulloch and W. Pitts, 1943 "*A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity*". In :*Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics* Vol 5, pp 115-163.





























- The real structure of the human nervous corresponding to last block-diagram.
- It contains the neurons to transfer the signal form the receptors to brain and vice-versa to the effectors.









# <section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

#### Level of Brain Organization There is a hierarchy of interwoven levels of organization: 1. Molecules and Ions 2. Synapses 3. Neuronal microcircuits 4. Dendrite trees 5. Neurons 6. Local circuits 7. Inter-regional circuits 8. Central nervous system The ANNs we study in this module are crude approximations to levels 5 and 6.







#### The McCulloch and Pitts Neuron Analysis

• Note that the McCulloch-Pitts neuron is an extremely simplified model of real biological neurons. Some of its missing features include: non-binary inputs and outputs, non-linear summation, smooth thresholding, stochasticity, and temporal information processing.

• Nevertheless, McCulloch-Pitts neurons are computationally very powerful. One can show that assemblies of such neurons are capable of universal computation.









#### Implementing Logic Gates with M-P Neurons

According to the McCulloch-Pitts Neuron properties we can use it to implement the basic logic gates.

| Not |     |  |  |
|-----|-----|--|--|
| in  | out |  |  |
| 1   | 0   |  |  |
| 0   | 1   |  |  |
|     |     |  |  |

| i |        |                 |     |  |  |
|---|--------|-----------------|-----|--|--|
|   | And    |                 |     |  |  |
|   | $In_1$ | in <sub>2</sub> | out |  |  |
|   | 1      | 1               | 1   |  |  |
|   | 1      | 0               | 0   |  |  |
|   | 0      | 1               | 0   |  |  |
|   | 0      | 0               | 0   |  |  |

| OR     |                 |     |  |
|--------|-----------------|-----|--|
| $In_1$ | in <sub>2</sub> | out |  |
| 1      | 1               | 1   |  |
| 1      | 0               | 1   |  |
| 0      | 1               | 1   |  |
| 0      | 0               | 0   |  |

What should we do to implement or realize a logic gate, Not/AND/OR, by N.N.?

5





























#### 1<sup>st</sup> Mini Project

- 1. By using the perceptron learning rule generate a N.N. to represent a NOT gate.
- 2. By using the perceptron learning rule generate a N.N. to represent a AND gate.
- 3. By using the perceptron learning rule generate a N.N. to represent a OR gate.
- 4. Please show that the generalized error converge to constant value after a learning process.
- 5. Please test the above N.N.s by testing data?
- 6. Please check the above N. N.s with data which added to noise.
- 7. Repeat the learning process for above N.N.s in both with and without bias.
- 8. Please plot the updated weights.

20






























# **Learning Rules**

So, the <u>N.N. can be optimized</u> by <u>minimizing</u> the corresponding cost function with respect to the <u>synaptic weights</u> of network.

According to above explanation, <u>Widrow and Hoff in 1960</u> proposed a new method to update the weights based on *delta rule*.

$$\Delta w_i(k) = \eta e(k) x_i(k)$$

$$w_i(k+1) = w_i(k) + \eta e(k)x_i(k)$$

7

### **Learning Rules**

### Hebbian Learning rule:

Hebb's postulate of learning is the oldest and most famous of all learning rules.

His theory can be rephrased as a two-part as follows:

1. If two neurons on either side of a synapse (connection) are activated simultaneously (i.e. synchronously), then the strength of that synapse is selectively increased.

2. If two neurons on either side of a synapse are activated asynchronously, then that synapse is selectively weakened or eliminated.





## **Back-Propagation Algorithm**

We look for a simple method of training in which the weights are updated on a *pattern-by-pattern* basis (online method). The adjustments to the weights are made in accordance with the respective <u>errors</u> computed for *each* pattern presented to the network.

The arithmetic average of these individual weight changes over the training set is therefore an *estimate* of the true change that would result from modifying the weights based on minimizing the cost function *E* over the entire training set.

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in O.L.} e_j^2(n)$$



### **Back-Propagation Algorithm**

| Δ | $w_{ii}(n) = -$        | $-n \frac{\partial E(n)}{\partial E(n)}$              |
|---|------------------------|---|
|   | $\Delta W_{ji}(n) = 0$ | $-\eta \frac{\partial w_{ji}(n)}{\partial w_{ji}(n)}$ |

•In words, gradient method could be thought of as a ball rolling down from a hill: the ball will roll down and finally stop at the valley.















### **2nd Mini Project**

- 1. By using the MLP and Hebbian learning rule generate a N.N. to represent the AND and XOR gates.
- 2. By using the MLP and Kohonen learning rule generate a N.N. to represent the AND and XOR gates.
- 3. By using the MLP and Back-Propagation learning rule generate a N.N. to represent the AND and XOR gates.
- 4. Please show that the generalized error converge to constant value after a learning process.
- 5. Please test the above N.N.s by testing data?
- 6. Please check the above N.N.s with data which are added to noise.
- 7. Please plot the updated weights.



<text><text><text><text><equation-block><equation-block>



# <section-header><text><text><text><list-item><list-item>







### Sequential Mode and Batch Mode

From an "on-line" operational point of view, the pattern mode of training is preferred over the batch mode, because it requires *less local storage* for each synaptic connection.

- Moreover, given that the patterns are presented to the network in a random manner, the use of pattern-by-pattern updating of weights makes the search in weight space *stochastic* in nature, which, in turn, makes it less likely for the back-propagation algorithm to be trapped in a local minimum.
- On the other hand, the use of batch mode of training provides a more accurate estimate of the gradient vector.
- \* So, the training process can be started with batch mode and then it can be changed to sequential mode.





### Initializing in Back-Propagation

In Lee et al. (1991), a formula for the *probability of premature saturation* in back-propagation learning has been derived for the <u>batch mode</u> of updating, and it has been verified using computer simulation. The essence (core) of this formula may be summarized as follows:

**1.** Incorrect saturation is **avoided** by choosing the initial values of the synaptic weights and threshold levels **of** the network to be uniformly distributed inside a *small* range of values.

**2.** Incorrect saturation is less likely to occur *when the number of hidden neurons is maintained low*, consistent with a satisfactory operation of the network.

**3.** Incorrect saturation rarely occurs when the neurons of the network operate in their *linear regions*.

*Note*: For pattern-by-pattern updating, computer simulation results show similar trends to the batch mode of operation referred to herein



### Heuristics for making the Back-Propagation Algorithm Perform Better

**2.** It is important that the **desired values** are chosen within the range of the sigmoid activation functions.

Otherwise, the back-propagation algorithm tends to drive the free parameters of the network to infinity, and thereby slow down the learning process by orders of magnitude.



### Heuristics for making the Back-Propagation Algorithm Perform Better

**3.** The initialization of the synaptic weights and threshold levels of the network should be *uniformly distributed* inside a *small range*. The reason for making the range small is to reduce the likelihood of the neurons in the network saturating and producing small error gradients.

However, the range should not be made too small, as it can cause the error gradients to be very small and the learning therefore to be initially very slow.

### Heuristics for making the Back-Propagation Algorithm Perform Better

**4.** All neurons in the multilayer Perceptron should desirably learn at the same rate.

Typically, the <u>last layers</u> tend to <u>have larger local gradients</u> than the layers at the front end of the network. Hence, the learning-rate parameter  $\eta$  should be assigned a *smaller value* in the *last layers* than the front layers.

\* Neurons with **many inputs** should have a **smaller learning-rate** parameter than neurons with few inputs.

15

### Heuristics for making the Back-Propagation Algorithm Perform Better

**5.** For <u>on-line operation</u>, <u>pattern-by-pattern updating</u> rather than batch updating should be used for weight adjustments.

For pattern-classification problems involving a large and redundant database, pattern-by-pattern updating tends to be orders of magnitude faster than batch updating.

**6.** The *order* in which the training examples are *presented to the network* should be *randomized* (shuffled) from one epoch to the next. This form of randomization is critical for <u>improving the speed of convergence</u>.

### Heuristics for making the Back-Propagation Algorithm Perform Better

### 7. Learning-rate:

In previous lectures and projects we studied the important effect of learningrate in back-propagation learning algorithm. Here, some new methods to improve the learning-rate value is introduced.























# Artificial Neural Networks Lecture 9 Some Applications of Neural Networks (1) (Function Approximation)













































### State Space model for Identification

In this structure, the states of the N.N. model provide an approximation or estimation to the states of the system.

A natural *performance criterion* for the model would be the sum of the squares of the errors between the system and the model outputs:

$$E(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \|y(k) - \hat{y}(k)\|^{2} = \sum_{k} \|e(k)\|^{2}$$

**Dynamic Back Propagation**:

$$w_{h} \in NN_{h} \longrightarrow \Delta w_{h} = -\eta_{h} \frac{dE(k)}{dw_{h}(k)}$$

$$w_{f} \in NN_{f} \longrightarrow \Delta w_{f} = -\eta_{f} \frac{dE(k)}{dw_{f}(k)} = -\eta_{f} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial E(k)}{\partial z_{j}(k)} \cdot \frac{dz_{j}(k)}{dw_{f}(k)}$$

$$\frac{dz_{j}(k)}{dw_{f}} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z_{j}(k)}{\partial z_{l}(k-1)} \cdot \frac{\partial z_{l}(k-1)}{\partial w_{f}} + \frac{\partial z_{j}(k)}{\partial w_{f}} = 8$$


### Input-Output model for Identification

Clearly, choosing the <u>state space models</u> for identification requires the use of <u>dynamic back propagation</u>, which is computationally a very **intensive procedure**. At the same time, to avoid instabilities while training, one needs to use small learning rate to adjust the parameters, and this in turn results in **long convergence times**.

Input-Output Model of plant:

Consider the difference Equation corresponding to a typical linear plant:

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y(k-i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j u(k-j)$$



### $S_{nl} \begin{cases} x(k+1) = f(x(k), u(k)) \\ y(k) = h(x(k)) \end{cases} \longrightarrow S_{linearized} \begin{cases} \delta x(k+1) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big|_{x_0, u_0} \delta x(k) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \Big|_{x_0, u_0} \delta u(k) \\ \delta y(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Big|_{x_0} \delta x(k) \end{cases}$ Theorem Let $S_{nl}$ be the nonlinear system, and $S_{linearized}$ its linearization around the equilibrium point. If $S_{linearized}$ is observable, then $S_{nl}$ is locally strongly observable. Furthermore, locally, $S_{nl}$ can be realized by an inputout output model.

Observability Matrix  $\varphi_o = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ cA \\ cA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ 

### Input-Output model for Identification

### Neural Network Implementation:

If strong observability conditions are known (or assumed) to be satisfied in the system's region of operation with n state variables, then the identification procedure using a feedforward neural network is quite straightforward.

At each instant of time, the **inputs** to the network consisting of the **system's past** *n* **input** values and **past** *n* **output values** (all together 2n), are fed into the neural network.

The network's output is compared with the next observation of the system's output to yield the error

 $e(k+1) = y(k+1) - \tilde{y}(k+1) = y(k+1) - \tilde{h} [Y_{l}(k-n+1), U_{l}(k-n+1)]$ 

The weights of the network are then adjusted using static back propagation to minimize the sum of the squared error.







### 2<sup>nd</sup> Part of Final Project

By using of an arbitrary neural network (MLP) identify the discrete nonlinear plant which is presented in example 2 (Score: 1 points).

- By using a test signal, show that the N.N. identifier perform a appropriate input-output model of plant.
- By using of the PRBS signal, repeat the identifying procedure and compare the results.

The material of this lecture is based on:

Omid Omidvar and David L. Elliott, **Neural Systems for Control**, Academic Press; 1st edition (1997).

### **Artificial Neural Networks**

### Lecture 11

Some Applications of Neural Networks (3) (Control)

































<section-header><text><text><text><list-item>

## <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>



















































### Comparison of RBF Networks and MLP [1]

Radial-basis function (RBF) networks and multilayer perceptrons are examples of nonlinear layered feedforward networks. They are both universal approximators.

However, these two networks differ from each other in several important respects, as:

- 1. An RBF network (in its most basic form) has a single hidden layer, whereas an MLP may have one or more hidden layers.
- Typically, the computation nodes of an MLP, be they located in a <u>hidden or output</u> layer, share a common neuron model. On the other hand, the computation nodes in the hidden layer *of* an RBF network are quite different and serve a different purpose from those in the output layer of the network.

### Comparison of RBF Networks and MLP [1]

- 3. The hidden layer of an RBF network is nonlinear, whereas the output layer is linear. On the other hand, the hidden and output layers of an MLP used as a classifier are usually all nonlinear; however, when the MLP is used to solve nonlinear regression problems, a linear layer for the output is usually the preferred choice.
- 4. The argument of the activation function of each hidden unit in an RBF network computes the *Euclidean norm (distance)* between the input vector and the center of that unit. On the other hand, the activation function of each hidden unit in an MLP computes the *inner product* of the input vector and the synaptic weight vector of that unit.

### Comparison of RBF Networks and MLP [1]

5. MLPs construct *global* approximations to nonlinear input-output mapping. Consequently, they are capable of generalization in regions of the input space where little or no training data are available.

On the other hand, RBF networks using exponentially decaying localized nonlinearities (e.g., Gaussian functions) construct *local* approximations to nonlinear input-output mapping, with the result that these networks are capable of fast learning and reduced sensitivity to the order of presentation of training data.



### Literature Cited The material of this lecture is based on: [1] Simon Haykin, Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation., Prentice Hall, 1998. [2] Tuba Kurban and Erkan Beşdok, A Comparison of RBF Neural Network Training Algorithms for Inertial Sensor Based Terrain Classification., Sensors, 9, 6312-6329; (doi:10.3390/s90806312), 2009. [3] Mark J. L. Orr, Introduction to Radial Basis Function Networks, Centre for Cognitive Science, University of Edinburgh, 1996.









### **Hopfield Neural Network**

The energy *E* for the whole network can be determined from energy function as the following equation:

$$E = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}w_{ij}y_{i}y_{j} - \sum_{i}x_{i}y_{i} + \sum_{i}y_{i}\theta_{i}$$

So: 
$$\Delta E_i = -\left(\sum_j w_{ij} y_j + x_i - \theta_i\right) \Delta y_i$$

decrease however the input changes.

 $\Delta y_i$  is *positive* when the terms in brackets is *positive*; and  $\Delta y_i$  becomes *negative* in the other case. Therefore the energy increment for the whole network  $\Delta E$  will always

5

# <section-header><text><list-item><list-item><list-item><text>





| State table of Hopfield N.N.   |            |            |            |   |
|--|------------|------------|------------|---|
| A Hopfield net with $n$ neurons has $2^n$ possible states, assuming that each neuron output produces two values 0 and 1. |            |            |            |   |
| output produces two values o and 1.  |            |            |            |   |
| The state table for the above example Hopfield network with 3 neurons is given below.                                    |            |            |            |   |
| Init.  | state if   | state if   | state if   |   |
| state  | N1 fires   | N2 fires   | N3 fires   |   |
| 000  | 100        | 000        | <u>000</u> |   |
| 001  | 101        | 011        | 000        |   |
| 010  | 010        | <u>000</u> | 011        |   |
| 011  | 011        | 011        | 011        |   |
| 100  | 100        | 100        | 101        |   |
| 101  | 101        | 111        | 101        |   |
| 110  | <u>010</u> | 100        | 111        |   |
| 111  | 011        | 111        | 111        |   |
|  |            |            |            | 9 |
|  |            |            |            |   |

Hopfield N.N. as BAM

Hopfield networks are used as **content-addressable memory** or **Bidirectional Associative Memory (BAM).** The content-addressable memory is such a device that returns a pattern when given a noisy or incomplete version of it.

In this sense a content-addressable memory is *error-correcting* as it can override provided inconsistent information.

The discrete Hopfield network as a memory device operates in two phases: *storage phase* and *retrieval phase*.

During the *storage phase* the network learns the weights after presenting the training examples. The training examples for this case of automated learning are binary vectors, called also fundamental memories. The weights matrix is learned using the <u>Widrow-Hoff rule</u>. According to this rule when an input pattern is passed to the network and the estimated network output does not match the given target, the corresponding weights are modified by a small amount.

The difference from the single-layer perceptron is that no error is computed, rather the target is taken directly for weight updating.



### Outer product Learning

*Learning:* Suppose that we wish to *store* a set of <u>*N*-dimensional</u> vectors (binary words), denoted by  $\{\xi_{\mu}, \mu = 1, 2, ..., M\}$ . We call these *M* vectors fundamental memories, representing the patterns to be memorized by the network.

The **<u>outer product</u>** learning rule, that is, the generalization of *Hebb*'s learning rule:

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mu}^{T} - M \mathbf{I} \right)$$

From these defining equations of the synaptic weights matrix, we note the following:

- The output of each neuron in the network is fed back to all other neurons.
- There is no self-feedback in the network (i.e.,  $w_{ii} = 0$ ).
- The weight matrix of the network is symmetric. (i.e.,  $W^T = W$ )


13

# <section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text>









### **Literature Cited**

The material of this lecture is based on:

[1] Simon Haykin, Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation., Prentice Hall, 1998.

[2] http://homepages.gold.ac.uk/nikolaev/cis311.htm









## History of Genetic Algorithm

**Genetic Algorithms** (GAs) are adaptive random search algorithm premised on the <u>evolutionary ideas</u> of natural selection and genetic. The basic concept of GAs is designed to *simulate processes in natural system* necessary for evolution, specifically those that follow the principles first laid down by Charles Darwin of survival of the fittest.

As such they represent an intelligent exploitation of a random search within a defined search space to solve a problem.

Genetic algorithms originated from the studies of *cellular automata*, conducted by *John Holland* and his colleagues in 60s at the University of Michigan. Research in GAs remained largely theoretical until the mid-1980s, when The *First International Conference* on Genetic Algorithms was held at <u>The University of Illinois</u>.



### **Genetic Algorithm**

GAs were introduced as a computational analogy of adaptive systems. They are *modeled loosely* on the principles of the evolution via natural selection, employing a population of individuals that undergo selection in the presence of variation-inducing operators such as **mutation** and **recombination** (**crossover**). A fitness function is used to evaluate individuals, and reproductive success varies with **fitness**.

The Algorithms can be summarized as:

- 1. Randomly generate an initial population M(0)
- 2. Compute and save the fitness u(*m*) for each individual *m* in the current population M(t).
- 3. Define selection probabilities p(*m*) for each individual *m* in M(t) so that p(*m*) is proportional to u(*m*)
- 4. Generate M(t+1) by probabilistically selecting individuals from M(t) to produce offspring via genetic operators (Crossover and Mutation)
- 5. Repeat step 2 until satisfying solution is obtained.



























|             | Selection  |    |
|-------------|--|----|
| Evaluation: |  |    |
|             | $eval(v_1) = f(-2.687969, 5.361653) = 19.805119$                 |    |
|             | $eval(v_2) = f(0.474101, 4.170144) = 17.370896$                  |    |
|             | $eval(v_3) = f(10.419457, 4.661461) = 9.590546$                  |    |
|             | $eval(v_4) = f(-6.159951, 4.109598) = 29.406122$                 |    |
|             | $eval(v_5) = f(-2.301286, 4.477282) = 15.686091$                 |    |
|             | $eval(v_6) = f(11.788084, 4.174346) = 11.900541$                 |    |
|             | $eval(v_7) = f(9.342067, 5.121702) = 17.958717$                  |    |
|             | $eval(v_8) = f(-0.330256, 4.694977) = 19.763190$                 |    |
|             | $eval(v_9) = f(11.671267, 4.873501) = 26.401669$                 |    |
|             | $eval(\boldsymbol{v}_{10}) = f(11.446273, 4.171908) = 10.252480$ |    |
|             |  |    |
|             |  |    |
|             |  | 20 |





### **Selection**

### In the last example:

The cumulative probability  $q_k$  for each chromosome is:

 $\begin{array}{ll} q_1 = 0.111180, & q_2 = 0.208695, & q_3 = 0.262534 \\ q_4 = 0.427611, & q_5 = 0.515668, & q_6 = 0.582475 \\ q_7 = 0.683290, & q_8 = 0.794234, & q_9 = 0.942446 \\ q_{10} = 1.000000 \end{array}$ 

Now we are ready to spin the roulette wheel 10 (population size) times, and each time we select a chromosome. So, *r* sequence can be generated randomly:

0.301431 0.322062 0.766503 0.881893 0.350871 0.583392 0.177618 0.343242 0.032685 0.197577







### **Mutation**

To prevent the GA of trapped in local minimum, Mutation operator is employed. In mutation procedure the following 2 steps are important.

- 1. Define the mutation rate  $(p_m)$  to select genes.
- 2. Generate "number of genes\*population size" random numbers  $(r_m)$  and by comparing those with mutation rate choose the corresponding genes which satisfy the following equation to mutate  $(0 \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } 1 \rightarrow 0)$ .

$$r_m < p_m$$

|                            | - 11          |              |         |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Random_num.                | Bit_position  | ChromNo.     | Bit_No. |
| 0.009857                   | 105           | 4            | б       |
| 0.003113                   | 164           | 5            | 32      |
| 0.000946                   | 199           | 7            | 1       |
| 0.001282                   | 329           | 10           | 32      |
| v <sub>4</sub> = [11111000 | 1011101100011 | 101000111101 | ]       |









|  | olution for Ackley's<br>Optimization Problem   |    |
|--|--|----|
| The G.A. parameters ar   | re set as: $\begin{cases} \text{population size: 10} \\ \text{max. generation: 1000} \\ Pm: 0.1 \end{cases}$   |    |
| Initial conditions<br>(Real number encoding):<br>$-5 \le x_1, x_2 \le 5$ | $P_{C}: 0.3$ $x_{1} \qquad x_{2}$ $v_{1} = [ 4.954222, 0.169225]$ $v_{2} = [-4.806207, -1.630757]$ $v_{3} = [ 4.672536, -1.867275]$ $v_{4} = [ 1.897794, -0.196387]$ $v_{5} = [-2.127598, 0.750603]$ $v_{6} = [-3.832667, -0.959655]$ $v_{7} = [-3.792383, 4.064608]$ $v_{8} = [ 1.182745, -4.712821]$ $v_{9} = [ 3.812220, -3.441115]$ $v_{10} = [-4.515976, 4.539171]$ | 33 |





|   | G.A. solution  |    |
|---|--|----|
| <b>Evaluation</b><br>Here you can see<br>chromosomes: | the corresponding fitness function for parent  |    |
|   | $eval(v_1) = f(4.954222, 0.169225) = 10.731945$<br>$eval(v_2) = f(-4.806207, -1.630757) = 12.110259$<br>$eval(v_3) = f(4.672536, -1.867275) = 11.788221$<br>$eval(v_4) = f(1.897794, -0.196387) = 5.681900$<br>$eval(v_5) = f(-2.127598, 0.750603) = 6.757691$<br>$eval(v_6) = f(-3.832667, -0.959655) = 9.194728$<br>$eval(v_7) = f(-3.792383, 4.064608) = 11.795402$ |    |
| Now, we genera  | $eval(v_8) = f(1.182745, -4.712821) = 11.559363$<br>$eval(v_9) = f(3.812220, -3.441115) = 12.279653$<br>$eval(v_{10}) = f(-4.515976, 4.539171) = 14.251764$<br>te a sequence of random numbers:<br>0.828211 0.199683 0.639149 0.629170 0.957427<br>0.149358 0.304788 0.058504 0.149693 0.326670  | 36 |



|           | G.A. solution  |
|-----------|--|
| Autation: |  |
|           | bit_pos chrom_num variable random_num<br>11 6 x <sub>1</sub> 0.081393  |
| offenning |  |
| offspring | $\longrightarrow v'_5 = [-4.068506, -0.959655]$  |
|           | alue for each offspring:   |
|           |  |
|           | alue for each offspring:   |
|           | alue for each offspring:<br>$eval(v'_1) = f(-4.444387, -1.383817) = 11.927451$   |
|           | alue for each offspring:<br>$eval(v'_1) = f(-4.444387, -1.383817) = 11.927451$<br>$eval(v'_2) = f(-4.194488, -1.206594) = 10.566867$ |

## <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>

